

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

1a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED			1b RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS DTIC FILE COPY		
AD-A204 473			3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
			5 MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) AFOSR-TR- 89-0031		
6a NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Univ of Michigan		6b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	7a NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION Air Force Office of Scientific Research/NL		
6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 330 Packard Road Ann Arbor, MI 48104-1346		7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Building 410 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448			
8a NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION AFOSR		8b OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) NL	9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER AFOSR-82-0297		
8c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Building 410 Bolling AFB, DC 20332-6448		10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS			
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO 61102F	PROJECT NO 2313	TASK NO A5	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO
11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) Perception of Complex Displays					
12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) Dr. John Jonides					
13a TYPE OF REPORT Final		13b TIME COVERED FROM 1 Sep 82 TO 31 Aug 88		14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) Dec. 8, 1988	
15 PAGE COUNT 5					
16 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
17 COSATI CODES			18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)		
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP					
19 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) There were three foci of research during the granting period. First, a project was concerned with stimulus-driven shifts of attention. This project was concerned with setting boundary conditions on when salient stimuli in the visual periphery could elicit shifts of attention without shifts of fixation. Second, research was conducted to study the integration of visual information across successive fixations. This research examined a simple model of saccadic integration, a model that was found not to be supported by experimental evidence. Third, experimentation was conducted concerned with the mechanisms involved in programming saccadic eye movements. In addition to these projects, several other issues were raised during the research period that resulted in experimentation and subsequent publication of results. These issues had to do with the development of automaticity in mental processing, the perception of geometric illusions, analysis of reaction time data, and attentional issues more generally.					
20 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED/UNLIMITED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAME AS RPT <input type="checkbox"/> DTIC USERS			21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Dr. John F. Tangney			22b TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) (202) 767-5021		22c OFFICE SYMBOL NL

AFOSR-TR- 89-0031

FINAL TECHNICAL REPORT

PERCEPTION OF COMPLEX DISPLAYS

AFOSR-82-0297

Principal Investigator John Jonides

8 December, 1988

Accession For	
NTIS GRA&I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DTIC TAB	<input type="checkbox"/>
Unannounced	<input type="checkbox"/>
Justification	
By _____	
Distribution/ _____	
Availability Codes	
Dist	Avail and/or Special
A-1	

Summary

There were three foci of research during the granting period. First, a project was concerned with stimulus-driven shifts of attention. This project was concerned with setting boundary conditions on when salient stimuli in the visual periphery could elicit shifts of attention without shifts of fixation. Second, research was conducted to study the integration of visual information across successive fixations. This research examined a simple model of saccadic integration, a model that was found not to be supported by experimental evidence. Third, experimentation was conducted concerned with the mechanisms involved in programming saccadic eye movements. In addition to these projects, several other issues were raised during the research period that resulted in experimentation and subsequent publication of results. These issues had to do with the development of automaticity in mental processing, the perception of geometric illusions, analysis of reaction time data, and attentional issues more generally.



Research Objectives

a. Stimulus-Driven Shifts of Attention

The objective of this portion of the project was to test whether salient stimulation in the visual periphery elicits a shift of attention to the stimulated location. This was assessed in the context of a visual search task in which reaction time measures could be modeled to show that stimulated locations were examined first before any other locations in the display. The specific goals of the research were to answer four questions? Will a shift of attention be elicited by a salient peripheral stimulus? Will *any* salient stimulation cause such a reflexive shift? How can shifts of

89 2 10 128 19 DEC 1988

attention to peripheral stimulation best be modeled? Are shifts of attention that are voluntary related to shifts that happen reflexively?

b. Integration of Information across Fixations

This portion of the project was concerned with testing whether information is integrated across fixations by a mechanism that stores the contents of each fixation with great fidelity and integrates the contents of successive fixations by spatially reconciling and superimposing the contents of each. This model was to be tested using a paradigm in which subjects were required to take in information during two fixations, integrate this information, and arrive at a judgment about the combined image.

c. Programming Saccadic Eye Movements

A number of experiments were to be conducted with a paradigm involving partial advance information to study how saccadic eye movements are programmed. This research was to result in the development of a model in which programming of distance and direction of an upcoming saccade were seen as separate operations. The experiments were designed to measure the latencies of saccades and to draw inferences about advanced programming of these saccades that could be applied to the development of the proposed model.

Status of Research

a. Stimulus-Driven Shifts of Attention

The first line of research on this problem established that suddenly onset stimuli exert control over the allocation of attention. The results of this research show support for a mathematical model in which attention is assumed to be captured by an abruptly onset stimulus before it can be allocated to other locations in the visual field. According to this model, abrupt onsets exert irrevocable control over attention.

Continuing work on this phenomenon concentrated on two issues:

1. The uniqueness of abrupt onset

Experiments were conducted that directly compared the effectiveness of abrupt onset versus brightness and hue differences in capturing attention. This research established that hue and brightness differences were not sufficient to cause an allocation of attention, although abruptness was.

This indicated that abruptness has a privileged position as a stimulus characteristic.

2. Voluntary versus involuntary shifts of attention

The goal of this research was to test whether shifts of attention due to peripheral stimulus presentation were completely involuntary. Tests of this hypothesis involved pitting stimulus-driven shifts of attention against internally driven attention shifts. The research established that stimulus-driven shifts are not immutable. Their effect can be dampened by voluntary allocation of attention.

b. Integration of Information Across Saccades

An oft-cited model of integration is that information is carried across saccades by a memory that preserves literal copies of each fixation, with spatial coordinates so that spatial reconciliation and superimposition are possible. This model was tested in an extensive series of experiments in which subjects had to view a partial array of dots in one fixation and integrate it with another array in another fixation to make a judgment about a missing dot. The early stages of this research suggested support of the model, but this was a result of an artifact in the equipment used. Later research, after correction of the artifact, showed no evidence in support of the model. Further paradigms were developed to test the model and to develop other models, but these proved largely ineffective for the task.

c. Programming Saccadic Eye Movements

There has been a good deal of research concerned with developing a model of saccadic preparation. The present experimentation specified a particular model and developed a paradigm to test it. The paradigm involved providing subjects with advance information about saccades that they were to execute, and measuring the latencies and accuracies of their execution. Results confirmed that distance and direction of saccades are two parameters that are separately programmed in advance of saccadic execution. This led support to the model under consideration.

Manuscripts

a. Stimulus-Driven Shifts of Attention

Yantis, S., and Jonides, J. Abrupt visual onsets and selective attention: Voluntary versus automatic allocation. Submitted for editorial consideration.

Jonides, J., and Yantis, S. Uniqueness of abrupt onset in capturing attention. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 1988, 43, 346-354.

Yantis, S., and Jonides, J. Abrupt visual onsets and selective attention: Evidence from visual search. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 1984, 10, 601-621.

Jonides, J., Further toward a model of the mind's eye's movement. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society*, 1983, 21, 247-250.

b. Integration of Information Across Saccades

Jonides, J., Irwin, D.E., and Yantis, S. Failure to integrate information from successive fixations. *Science*, 1983, 222, 188.

Jonides, J., Irwin, D.E., and Yantis, S. Integrating information from successive fixations. *Science*, 1982, 215, 192-194.

Irwin, D., Yantis, S., and Jonides, J. Evidence against visual integration across saccadic eye movements. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 1983, 34, 49-57.

c. Programming Saccadic Eye Movements

Abrams, R., and Jonides, J. Programming saccadic eye movements. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 1988, 14, 428-443.

d. Related Research on Other Issues

Gugerty, L., and Jonides, J. The inverted-T illusion in perception and memory. Submitted for editorial consideration.

Palmer, J., and Jonides, J. Automatic memory search and the effects of information load and irrelevant information. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 1988, 14, 136-143.

Ayres, T.J., Naveh-Benjamin, M., and Jonides, J. Recognition of the stimulus suffix. *Journal of Memory and Language*, 1986, 25, 619-626.

Jonides, J., Naveh-Benjamin, M., and Palmer, J., Assessing automaticity. *Acta Psychologica*, 1985, 60, 157-171.

Naveh-Benjamin, M., and Jonides, J. On the automaticity of frequency coding: Effects of competing task load, encoding strategy, and intention. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 1986, 12, 378-386.

Jonides, J., and Mack, R. On the cost and benefit of cost and benefit. *Psychological Bulletin*, 1984, 96, 29-44.

Naveh-Benjamin, M., and Jonides, J. Maintenance rehearsal: A two-component analysis. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 1984, 10, 369-385.

Jonides, J., and Yantis, S. Review of *Visual Information Processing*. *American Journal of Psychology*, 1984, 97, 134-137.

Jonides, J. Reports of the icon's demise are premature. *Brain and Behavioral Sciences*, 1983, 6, 24-25.

Siegel-Jacobs, K., and Jonides, J. Location and Identity information in short-term visual memory. Manuscript in preparation.